

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/688,089	10/16/2000	Hans J. Hansen	18733/1002	2717	
22,120	7590 02/24/2003 D LARDNER		EXAM	EXAMINER .	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			HUFF, SHEELA JITENDRA		
	ON, DC 20007		ART UNIT	T PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	20	
	•		DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/688,089	HANSEN, HANS J.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sheela J Huff	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app	ears n the c ver sheet with th	correspondence addres	ss			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a line in rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forti- later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing. SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Clarest of extension and the corresponding arm of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market was a set of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market was a set of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market was a set of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market was a set of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mailing statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mailing statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mailing statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mailing statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mailing statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mailing statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market statutory period for the market	HE FINAL REJECTION. Some of the superopount of the fee. The appropount of the fee. The appropount of the fee.	ee MPEP riate extension oriate extension ffice action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 December 2002</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	2. Appellant's Brief must be filed	within the period set for the appeal.	orth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>none</u> .						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because:	See Continuation Sneet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered a elow or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow		•				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 48-57.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on			ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other: Sheela J Huff						
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1642				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations of claims 58-59 would require new references in the existing rejection..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the references do not teach the "subsequent" addition of cytokines. Applicant is directed to MPEP2144.07 Section IV C which states that the sequence of addition can be in any order. Therefore, unless objective evidence can be shown to show that the only was the cytokines product their effect is after the previous step, the rejection is maintained.